

Public Sector Internal Audit Report Writing Course

11 – 15 January 2027
Malaga (Spain)

UK Traininig

PARTNER



Public Sector Internal Audit Report Writing Course

Ref: 3254749_176540 **Date:** 11 – 15 January 2027 **Location:** Malaga (Spain) **Fees:** 5200 GBP

Public sector internal audit report writing and review is the skill of turning audit evidence from government bodies into clear observations and recommendations, and of checking draft reports for accuracy, balance and readability before issue. This five-day course is for internal auditors and audit reviewers in public institutions, and its final deliverable is a reviewed internal audit report with a completed quality review checklist.

Introduction

Two references shape the course. The International Professional Practices Framework IPPF of the Institute of Internal Auditors sets the requirements for communicating engagement results, including accuracy, objectivity, clarity and timeliness. The attribute model of an audit observation, often called condition, criteria, cause and effect, gives each finding a fixed logic that readers can follow. The level is practitioner: delegates already carry out audits and want to write and review reports with more discipline. Teaching uses case material from health services, municipal services and education, with drafting and peer review exercises.

Course Objectives

- Define the elements of a public sector internal audit report and the purpose each section serves for decision-makers
- Distinguish traditional narrative reports from modern risk-focused reports and select the structure suited to each engagement
- Apply the condition, criteria, cause and effect model to write observations from financial and administrative data
- Interpret charts and comparisons from data analysis software and choose visuals that clarify rather than decorate a finding
- Compare recommendations that treat symptoms with those that strengthen processes and controls, and justify the stronger one
- Select review criteria for accuracy, credibility and tone and use them to return clear comments on a colleague's draft

The graphic features the text 'UK Training' in a small, grey font above the word 'PARTNER' in a large, bold, black font. This text is positioned over a background of concentric grey circles and a chessboard pattern. In the bottom right corner, there are three chess pieces: a gold king, a silver pawn, and a black pawn.

UK Training
PARTNER

Target Audience

- Internal auditors in ministries, agencies and municipalities who must decide how to word observations that public managers will accept
- Audit team leaders and supervisors who must judge whether a draft report is ready to go to senior management
- Quality reviewers within audit units who must choose which weaknesses in a draft to correct before issue
- Compliance and internal control officers in government bodies who must assess which recommendations to track
- Audit staff moving from fieldwork to reporting roles who must select how much detail a finding needs

Course Outline

Day 1: Purpose and Shape of Public Sector Audit Reports

- Role of Internal Audit Reports: Supporting Accountability and Transparency in Government Bodies
- Traditional vs Modern Audit Reports: Narrative Descriptions Compared with Risk-Focused Messages
- Principles of Effective Report Writing: Accuracy, Objectivity, Clarity, Conciseness and Timeliness
- IPPF Communication Requirements: What the Standards Expect from Engagement Results
- Legislative and Regulatory Requirements: Rules Governing Report Preparation in Public Institutions

Day 2: Writing Observations and Recommendations

- Report Elements: Introduction, Scope, Findings, Recommendations and Conclusion in Sequence
- Writing the Report Introduction: Objective Statements of Scope, Criteria and Approach
- Condition, Criteria, Cause and Effect: Structuring Findings from Financial and Administrative Data
- Symptom-Based vs Control-Strengthening Recommendations: Writing Actions That Fix Underlying Causes
- Management Responses and Action Owners: Recording Agreed Actions and Target Completion

Day 3: Data, Visuals and Digital Reporting Tools

- Tables vs Charts in Audit Reports: Choosing the Format That Clarifies Each Finding
- Graphical Analysis and Digital Comparisons: Showing Trends, Variances and Benchmarks Accurately
- Data Analysis Software for Reporting: Turning Extracted Records into Reportable Evidence
- Interactive Reports and Data Visualisation: Dashboards That Let Readers Explore Results
- Technology-Driven Reporting Case Studies: Lessons from Health and Municipal Audit Reports

UK Training

PARTNER

A graphic of a chessboard with several chess pieces. A gold king piece is prominent in the foreground, with a silver pawn and a gold pawn nearby. The board is white and grey, with concentric circles in the background.

Day 4: Risk, Performance Gaps and Follow-Up

- Operational vs Financial vs Administrative Risks: Classifying What a Report Reveals
- Gap Analysis and Institutional Performance: Using Findings to Show Where Results Fall Short
- Risk Ratings for Observations: Ranking Findings so Readers See Priorities First
- Recommendation Implementation Monitoring: Tracking Progress and Reporting Overdue Actions
- Managing Institutional Change Through Reports: Linking Recommendations to Process Improvement

Day 5: Report Drafting and Quality Review Practice

- Self-Review vs Independent Review: Two Layers of Checking a Draft Report
- Quality Review Checklist: Testing Accuracy, Evidence Support, Tone and Credibility of Drafts
- Storytelling in Audit Reports: Ordering Findings to Hold Senior Management Attention
- Presenting Reports to Senior Management and Stakeholders: Briefing Notes and Exit Meetings
- Reviewed Internal Audit Report: Completing a Draft with Peer Comments and Checklist

Skills You Will Gain

- Audit Observation Drafting
- Condition-Criteria-Cause-Effect Analysis
- Recommendation Writing
- Audit Data Visualisation
- Observation Risk Rating
- Draft Report Review
- Follow-Up Tracking
- Executive Briefing

Why Attend This Course

- From long descriptive reports that managers skim to structured observations that state condition, criteria, cause and effect
- From recommendations that restate the problem to actions with owners that fix the underlying control weakness
- From reviewing drafts by instinct to checking them against agreed criteria for accuracy, tone and evidence
- From drafts returned repeatedly before issue to a reviewed internal audit report with a completed quality review checklist

The graphic shows the text 'UK Training' in a small font above the word 'PARTNER' in a large, bold, black font. The background features a chessboard with several chess pieces (a king, a queen, and a pawn) and concentric circles emanating from behind the text.

Conclusion

Report writing and review in public sector internal audit decides whether audit work leads to change in a government body or stays on file. The course makes three distinctions clear: traditional versus risk-focused reports, recommendations that treat symptoms versus those that fix causes, and self-review versus independent quality review. It suits practising auditors and reviewers in public institutions who want five days on drafting and checking reports, rather than a longer course covering the wider audit cycle.

Course FAQs

What is public sector internal audit report writing and review?

It is the work of drafting the observations, recommendations and conclusions of an internal audit of a government body, and of checking those drafts for evidence support, accuracy, balance and clarity before they reach senior management.

What is the difference between a traditional and a modern public sector audit report?

A traditional report describes what was examined in narrative order. A modern report leads with risk-rated findings, states cause and effect, uses visuals where they help, and ties each recommendation to an owner and date.

Which standard does public sector audit report writing training follow?

The course follows the communication requirements of the IPPF of the Institute of Internal Auditors and uses the condition, criteria, cause and effect model to structure every observation.

Is public sector audit report writing training suitable for an audit quality reviewer?

Yes. Quality reviewers use the review criteria, checklist and peer review exercises to give consistent, specific comments on drafts and to decide which weaknesses must be corrected before issue.

What do delegates produce in public sector audit report writing training?

Delegates produce a reviewed internal audit report built from case material, with structured observations, rated findings and owned recommendations, together with the completed quality review checklist used to check it.

A graphic featuring a chessboard with several chess pieces (a king, queen, and pawns) in the foreground. In the background, there are concentric circles and the text 'UK Training PARTNER' in a bold, sans-serif font.

UK Training
PARTNER